





Agenda

- ➤ Study Goal and Objectives
- ➤ Options Summary
- ➤ Preliminary Screening
- ➤ Options Prioritization
- Portfolio Formulation
- Evaluation Criteria
- ➤ Portfolio Scores and Summary
- ➤ Next Steps



Study Goal

Formulate and evaluate options that provide short-term and long-term sustainability of water supply for the Paradise community



Study Objectives

- ➤ Water Supply Reliability
- Safe and Affordable Drinking Water
- Short- and Long-Term Financial Sustainability
- Support Community Redevelopment



Options Summary

Options Category	Option No.	Option Name
No Project	1	Do Nothing
	2	PG&E
E' '101'	3	FEMA
Financial Claims	4	Insurance
	5	ASADRA
	6	Miocene Canal
Infrastructure	7	Chico Intertie
	8	Magalia Dam retrofit
	9	Butte County
Water Transfer	10	N/O Delta (Not Butte)
	11	S/O Delta
	12	PID into: ToP
Agency Reorg	13	PID into: SFWPA
	14	Into PID: Del Oro
	15	Paradise Sewer
0.1	16	Metering
Others	17	Water Bottling
	18	Voluntary Agreements
	19/20	Rate Increases/Assessments
F 4	21	Taxes
Funding Augmentation	22	Grants and Loans
8	23	Backfill Funding Assistance



Preliminary Screening

Options Category	Option No.	Option Name
No Project	1	Do Nothing
	2	PG&E
Financial Claims	3	FEMA
Financial Claims	4	Insurance
	5	ASADRA
	6	Miocene Canal
Infrastructure	7	Chico Intertie
	8	Magalia Dam retrofit
	9	Butte County
Water Transfer	10	N/O Delta (Not Butte)
	11	S/O Delta
	12	PID into: ToP
Agency Reorg	13	PID into: SFWPA
	14	Into PID: Del Oro
	15	Paradise Sewer
0.1	16	Metering
Others	17	Water Bottling
	18	Voluntary Agreements
	19/20	Rate Increases/Assessments
	21	Taxes
Funding Augmentation	22	Grants and Loans
8	23	Backfill Funding Assistance



Options Prioritization

Options Category	Option No.	Option Name	Priority Ranking
No Project	1	Do Nothing	N
	2	PG&E	A
E101.	3	FEMA	В
Financial Claims	4	Insurance	N
	5	ASADRA	В
	6	Miocene Canal	A
Infrastructure	7	Chico Intertie	A
	8	Magalia Dam retrofit	В
	9	Butte County	N
Water Transfer	10	N/O Delta (Not Butte)	A
	11	S/O Delta	A
	12	PID into: ToP	A
Agency Reorg	13	PID into: SFWPA	A
	14	Into PID: Del Oro	N
	15	Paradise Sewer	В
0.1	16	Metering	N
Others	17	Water Bottling	N
	18	Voluntary Agreements	N
	19/20	Rate Increases/Assessments	C
P. 11	21	Taxes	E
Funding Augmentation	22	Grants and Loans	В
	23	Backfill Funding Assistance	В



Portfolio Formulation

- > Portfolios
 - Groups of complimentary Options
 - Together achieve the goals and objectives of the study
- ➤ Six Portfolios
 - \$ Financial Claims
 - Miocene Canal
 - Chico Intertie
 - Water Transfers
 - PID reorganization into Town of Paradises
 - PID reorganization into SFWPA



	Option No.			Portfolio				
Options Category		Option Name	Priority	\$	V≋V	7	•	
				Financial Claim	Miocene Canal	Chico Intertie	Water Transfers	Agency Reorganization
No Project	1	Do Nothing	И					
Financial Claims	2	PG&E	Α	•				
	3	FEMA	В	~	~	~	~	✓
	4	Insurance	4					
	5	ASADRA	В	~	✓	~	✓	✓
Infrastructure	6	Miocene Canal	Α		~			
	7	Chico Intertie	Α			~		
	8	Magalia Dam retrofit	В		~	~	~	
Water Transfers	ð	Butte County	И					
	10	N/O Delta (Not Butte)	Α		•	~	~	
	11	S/O Delta	Α		~	~	~	
Agency	12	PID into: ToP	Α		·			~
Reorganization	13	PID into: SFWPA	Α					~
	14	Into PID: Del Oro	4					
Others	15	Paradise Sewer	В			✓		
	46	Metering	N					
	47	Water Bottling	И					
	48	Voluntary Agreements	4					
Funding Augmentation	19/20	Rate Increases/Assessments	С	~	~	~	~	~
•	21	Taxes	4					
	22	Grants and Loans	В	~	✓	~	~	~
	23	Backfill Funding Assistance	В	~	~	~	~	~

Evaluation Criteria

- > Technical
- > Economical
- > Financial
- > Regulatory
- > Environmental Impacts
- > Legal
- ➤ Stakeholder/Public Acceptance
- > Implementation Timeline



Evaluation Criteria Ranking



Evaluation Criteria Ranking

- ➤ Rank 1 helps meet most or all the Study objectives
- Rank 2 helps meet some of the Study objectives
- ➤ Rank 3 does not meet most or all the Study objectives



Evaluation	Factors	Ranking					
Criteria		1	2	3			
	Construction Requirements	New construction with above-average difficulty	New construction & typical level of difficulty	Requires no new construction			
Technical Feasibility	Consistency with PID objectives	Consistent	Consistent but do not support future growth	Inconsistent			
	Water Supply Reliability	Neither short- nor long- term water reliability	Short- or long-term water reliability	Short- and long-term water reliability			
	Capital Cost	High (relative)	Average (relative)	Low (relative)			
Economic	Grants	Limited opportunities	Available but no prior evidence	Available with prior evidence			
Feasibility	Loans	Only commercial loans or subsidized loans with limited capacity	Subsidized loans available with sufficient capacity but no prior evidence of issuance for similar projects	Subsidized loans available with sufficient capacity with evidence of issuance for similar projects			
Financial	Impact to Annual O&M costs	Significant	Minimal	None			
Feasibility	Debt issuance	Long-term debt	Interim debt	No debt			
•	Impact to water rates	Significant	Moderate	Minimal			
Regulatory Feasibility	Regulatory Feasibility	More than 2 years	Between 1 and 2 years	Less than 1 year			
Environmental Impacts	Environmental Impacts	Significant	Less than significant	No adverse impacts or provide beneficial impacts			
Local Fossibility	Legal and Institutional Challenges	Substantial	Moderate	Limited			
Legal Feasibility	Changes to PID's Existing Water Rate Structure	Significant	Minor	No change			
Stakeholder/ Public Acceptance	Overall Support	Low	Moderate	High			
Implementation	Portfolio Implementation Timeline	2028 or beyond	Between 2024-2027	By 2023			
	Implementation risk associated with securing grants/loans	Significant	Moderate	Minimal			

Evaluation Criteria	Weight	Factors	Financial Claims	Miocene Canal	Chico Intertie	Water Transfers	PID Reorganization into Town of Paradise	PID Reorganization into SFWPA
		Construction requirements	3	2	1	2	3	3
Technical	20%	Consistency with PID objectives	3	2	3	3	3	1
		Water supply reliability	3	3	3	3	1	1
	450/	Total Estimated Portfolio Capital Cost	3	2	1	2	3	3
Economic	15%	Likelihood of grants	3	1	2	3	1	1
		Likelihood of loans	3	1	3	3	3	1
		Impact to annual O&M costs	3	2	1	2	3	3
Financial	Financial 10%	Debt issuance	2	1	1	1	1	1
		Overall impact to water rates	3	1	1	1	1	1
Regulatory	10%	Regulatory Feasibility	3	1	1	2	2	1
Environmental	10%	Environmental Impacts	3	1	1	2	3	3
Legal	10%	Legal and institutional challenges	3	1	1	2	2	2
Legai	1070	Changes required to PID's existing water rate structure	3	1	1	1	2	1
Stakeholder/ Public	15%	Overall support	3	2	2	3	2	1
Implementation	10%	Overall portfolio implementation timeline	2	2	1	3	2	2
Timeline	10 /0	Implementation risk associated with securing grants/loans	d 3 2	2	3	2	2	



Evaluation Criteria Weightage



Evaluation Criteria Weightage

Evaluation Criteria	Weightage
Technical Feasibility	20%
Economic Feasibility	15%
Financial Feasibility	10%
Regulatory Feasibility	10%
Environmental Impacts	10%
Legal Feasibility	10%
Stakeholder/Public Acceptance	15%
Implementation	10%



Portfolio Scores



Portfolio Scores

Evaluation Criteria	weight	Factors	Rating Averag		Score
				Rating	(Weight*Avg Rating)
Technical	20%	Construction requirements	2		
		Consistency with PID objectives	2	2.3	0.5
		Water supply reliability	3		
Economic	15%	Total Estimated Portfolio Capital Cost	2		
		Likelihood of grants	1	1.3	0.2
		Likelihood of loans	1		
Financial	10%	Impact to annual O&M costs	2		
		Debt issuance	1	1.3	0.1
		Overall impact to water rates	1		
Regulatory	10%	Regulatory Feasibility	1	1.0	0.1
Environmental	10%	Environmental Impacts	1	1.0	0.1
Legal 10%		Legal and institutional challenges			
		Changes required to PID's existing water rate structure	1	1.0	0.1
Stakeholder/ Public	15%	Overall support	2	2.0	0.3
Implementation	10%	Overall portfolio implementation timeline	2		
		Implementation risk associated with securing grants/loans	2	2.0	0.2
		Total			1.6



Portfolio Scores

- § Financial Claims: 2.9
- Water Transfer: 2.4
- PID reorganization into Town of Paradise: 2.2
- PID reorganization into SFWPA: 1.7
- Chico Intertie: **1.6**
- Miocene Canal: 1.6



Portfolio Summaries

Scoring	Portfolios	Advantages	Disadvantages
High score	Financial Claims	 Lowest cost of all portfolios Has the potential ability to meet all revenue deficits 	 Significant unknowns regarding likelihood of success Does not provide additional benefits
Medium score	Water Transfers	 Limited infrastructure improvements Can quickly begin generating revenue 	 Water transfer opportunities are limited until Magalia Dam retrofit completed Does not meet short-term funding deficiency alone
	Agency Reorganization into Town of Paradise	 Provides some technical and managerial cost efficiencies Willingness to provide loans to cover PID's operational deficit 	Existing funding deficit will be passed to agency
Rec	Agency Reorganization into SFWPA	Provides some technical and managerial cost efficiencies	Existing funding deficit will be passed to agency
	Chico Intertie	 Multi-benefit opportunities Provides reliable method to sell water 	 Highest cost option Longer implementation timeline Water transfer opportunities are restricted until Magalia Dam retrofit completed Does not meet short-term funding deficiency alone
	Miocene Canal	 Multi-benefit opportunities May provide additional water supplies Hydroelectric power generation potential 	 Higher cost option Longer implementation timeline Water transfer opportunities are restricted until Magalia Dam retrofit completed Does not meet short-term funding deficiency alone



GEI Next Steps

- ➤ June 7, 2022 Public Comments Due
- ➤ July 8, 2022 Finalize Options Report



Questions?



Consulting Engineers and Scientists